

## SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

### BALANCING WEIGHT FOR VEHICLE WHEELS WITH CONCAVELY OR CONVEXLY CURVED CONTACT FACE, AND METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION THEREOF

~~Balancing weight for vehicle wheels with concavely or convexly curved contact face, and method for the production thereof~~

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### 1. Field of the Invention

The invention relates to a balancing weight for vehicle wheels, the weight having a weight body which has provided with a concavely or convexly curved contact face for applying to a convexly or concavely curved portion of the a rim of the a wheel, in particular to a rim flange. The invention further relates to a method of manufacturing such a balancing weight.

### 2. Description of the Prior Art

Balancing weights are known composed of lead, which is relatively soft and can therefore be subjected to plastic deformation, even retrospectively, when being mounted on a wheel rim in order to achieve a positive contact with the rim flange of the vehicle wheel. For assembly of lead balancing weights, the differences between various types of ~~rim~~ rims, such as {aluminum- and, steel rims}, and rim designs of different vehicle manufacturers, and differences in the rim diameters (13 inches to 22 inches) are not important, since lead is a soft material and by its relatively easy plastic deformability can be adapted to the respective rim diameter or radius. Consequently, the manufacturers of balancing weights have only had to take the rim diameter into account for the geometry of the lead balancing weights to the extent that an average value is used as a basis for the same. In rims with a diameter other than the average value, retrospective adaptation of the shape of the lead balancing weight for

the purpose of assembly on the vehicle wheel is possible. However, for several reasons, there is a considerable need to avoid ~~or substitute~~ lead as a material for balancing weights.

As substitute materials, ~~recently~~ steel and zinc have been used for balancing weights (~~cf. e.g. Offenlegungsschrift DE 101 02 321 A1 by the Applicant~~). However, steel and zinc are much harder than lead, so they cannot be adapted retrospectively to different rim diameters by simple deformation, or the like. In order to counter this problem, the obvious solution is simply to manufacture balancing weights up to a maximum length or weight and ~~no longer~~ not to exceed this. The length or weight is so dimensioned in this ~~obvious~~ alternative (e.g. lengths of 60-70 mm or weights of up to approx. 40 g) that the corresponding weights can be mounted easily on all rims. In practice, however, imbalances of more than 40 g can occur (balancing weights of 60 g are currently standard), so that in this alternative two or more balancing weights would have to be assembled, which is time-consuming and expensive.

Another ~~easy~~ alternative for overcoming the above-mentioned problem is to manufacture balancing weights which are specially cut to length for the different diameters of most wheel rims commercially available, but then the storage and manufacturing costs are too high.

Finally, in order to overcome the above-mentioned problem, one could still consider manufacturing balancing weights which extend on the contact face (rim reverse face) allocated to the portion of rim on the basis of a reverse face radius of curvature, which is dimensioned as small as possible and still permits practicable use. Such a balancing weight could be mounted on all current rims. However, in the case of large rim diameters, there is the disadvantage that the balancing weight projects at its ends and due to a minimal contact area can be pushed away easily from the point of impact in the central region.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

~~The~~ An object of the invention is therefore to create a balancing weight which can be used ~~without the need for plastic deformation in assembly~~ for the maximum possible number of rim types with different diameters without the need for plastic deformation during assembly. ~~For the latter,~~ A a balancing weight which is virtually universal is ~~to be created~~ desired. ~~To achieve this, we refer to the balancing weight indicated in claim 1 and to the method of manufacture indicated in claim 20 of a corresponding balancing weight.~~ Optional, ~~advantageous embodiments of the invention will appear from the dependent claims.~~

~~With~~ In accordance with the invention, ~~the way to substituting environmentally harmful lead by~~ with zinc or steel or other materials mentioned ~~in the claims is simplified, because now it is no longer necessary to subject the balancing weight to retrospective plastic deformation in order to adapt to different rim diameters.~~

The manufacturability is simplified if ~~according to an optional embodiment~~ at least one lateral section, and preferably plural or all sections, extend along circular curves or on the basis of constant radii or curvature. The manufacture of circular shapes is simple to carry out by means of machine tools.

According to an optional embodiment of the invention, it is sufficient if for the progressions of the side sections at least two differently dimensioned radii of curvature are used. For example, the contact face ~~could~~ can be divided into three sections, the middle one of which extends along the largest radius of curvature whilst the two outer ones accordingly extend along a uniformly identical, smaller radius of curvature. In this case the two outer (end) lateral sections can be executed identically in pairs with respect to a hypothetical line of symmetry (penetrating the weight body transversely).

Included in the scope of the invention are radii of curvature for one or more or all lateral sections whose value extends towards infinity, i.e., the lateral section concerned is rectilinear. Rectilinear progressions of the lateral

sections can be particularly advantageously within the central region of the contact face in order to be able to abut sufficiently against the rim portions of large diameter.

Conversely, for rims with a small diameter, an optional embodiment of the invention is advantageous in which two outer lateral sections or two lateral end sections are provided with the smallest of the occurring radius or radii of curvature. The lateral end sections with this curve then give the best positive fit with the rim area allocated, and ~~on the other hand the gap in the central region~~ between the contact face and the opposing rim face, so that it can be bridged without overload by an integral, or integrally cast, or subsequently mounted holding spring.

Particularly, if the lateral sections consecutive to the contact face are so structured that radii or curvature which increase in value from the lateral end to the lateral ~~centre~~ center, and radii of curvature which decrease in value ~~from~~ from the lateral ~~centre~~ center to the lateral end, a corresponding (universal) balancing weight can be used for almost all rim diameters without incurring assembly or service-life problems.

The notion of the invention is, however, not limited to circular progressions of the lateral sections (with constant curvature or constant radius of curvature) and/or to rectilinear/linear progressions. Thus, the lateral sections of the contact face can be provided with curvatures which vary over the distance, or with curvatures which extend in a parabolic, hyperbolic and/or elliptical manner.

Advantageously, the different radii of curvature for the individual lateral sections of the weight body contact face are so selected that outside the smallest and inside the largest radius or radii or curvature predominate. The size ratios between the individual radii of curvature are advantageously selected according to models given below,  $n$  representing the number of lateral sections. In this ~~case~~ example, two cases, A and B, are to be distinguished.

R1 is on the left-hand (or right-hand) end of the contact face and Rn to the right-hand (or left-hand) end of the contact face.

Case A: ~~n [2-illegible-symbols] 6, 8~~ is an even number and is at least 4

$130 \text{ mm} < R1 < 330 \text{ mm}.$

$R2 > R1$

$R3 \geq R2$

$R4 \geq R3$

$R5 \geq R4$

...

$R(n/2) \geq R(n/2-1)$

$R(n/2+1) \leq R(n/2)$

$R(n/2+2) \leq R(n/2+1)$

...

$$R(n-1) \leq R(n-2)$$

$$R_n < R(n-1)$$

$$130 \text{ mm} < R_n < 330 \text{ mm}$$

Case B:  $n = 3, 5, 7, \dots$

$$130 \text{ mm} < R_1 < 330 \text{ mm}$$

$$R_2 > R_1$$

$$R_3 \geq R_2$$

$$R_4 \geq R_3$$

$$R_5 \geq R_4$$

...

$$R((n+1)/2) \geq R((n+1)/2-1)$$

$$R((n+1)^2+1) \leq R((n+1)/2)$$

$$R((n+1)^2+2) \leq R((n+1)^2+1)$$

...

$$R(n-1) \leq R(n-2)$$

$$R_n < R(n-1)$$

$$130 \text{ mm} < 330 \text{ mm}$$

The upper limit  $R_n$  for the radius of curvature can in the case of heavy goods<sub>1</sub> vehicles or other commercial vehicles<sub>1</sub> extend up to 600 mm. On the other hand, even in the case of miniature wheel applications, lower limits for radii of curvature  $R_1$  of up to 100 to 120 mm are conceivable.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Further details, features, combinations of features, and advantageous effects of the invention will appear from the following description of preferred embodiments and from the drawings, which show:

Figure 1 and Figure 2: in different perspective views an impact balancing weight fixed to a rim flange,

Figure 3, a perspective view of a balancing weight according to the invention,

Figures 4a-4d, four end views of a wheel rim with an impact balancing weight for, illustrating the mode of operation of the invention with ~~the aid of~~ a comparison of the respective geometric fixing conditions of a conventional balancing weight (Figures 4a, 4b) with those of ~~the~~ a balancing weight according to the invention (4c, 4d), and

Figure 5, in a diagrammatic representation, a plan view of a further embodiment of the invention.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figures 1 and 2 show an impact balancing weight 1 with its rear convex contact face 2 fixed to ~~the~~ a concave inner face 3 of ~~the~~ a flange 4 of a rim 5 (only shown in part) for vehicle wheels (passenger vehicle, heavy goods vehicle, bus, motorcycle). As fixing means, a holding and clip spring 6 ~~e.g. of~~ for example, hardened spring steel, is used, which is cast into ~~the~~ a weight body 7, or is otherwise incorporated therewith. With a bent section, the spring clip 6 projects from ~~a~~ the weight body 7 and encompasses the rim flange 4. The contour (geometry) of the balancing weight 1 with the clip spring 6 cast therein is set by the manufacturer. Since there are many types of rims, but a separate type of weight is not to be manufactured for each type of rim, (for reasons of storage, to minimize the number of variants, and for reasons of cost), for the balancing weight 1 a geometry is ~~to be aimed at~~ desired which permits assembly on as many types of rims as possible.

As a tool for assembling the impact balancing weight 1 on the vehicle rim 5, the use of an assembly tool is known by means of which the balancing weight 1 is knocked ~~on to~~ onto the rim 5. In this case, the spring clip 6 is set

on the rim flange 4 with its curved end projecting from the weight body 7, and is struck with the an assembly tool until it snaps on.

After assembly, the balancing weight 1 should abut the rim flange 4 as immovably as possible. This is assisted by a convex curvature on the contact face 2 (reverse face) and the curvature should permit positive and/or non-positive connection to the opposing, concavely curved rim inner face 3. To this end, the contact of the balancing weight 1 on the rim inner face 3 must be effected over as large an area as possible in order to ensure the maximum possible holding and immovability on the assembly point (point determined by a balancing machine for compensating the imbalance). The non-positive connection between the rim 5 and the balancing weight 1 is produced ~~via a~~ by the clip spring 6, which is connected positively to the weight body 7 by means of integral casting and which clips the same by overlapping and grasping the rim flange 4 on the rim 5.

If the balancing weight 1 has a convex, reverse contact face 2 with a firmly specified radius of curvature, and the rim 5 likewise has a specified diameter (radius), then according to Figure 4a a relatively long balancing weight 1 will rest on a rim 5 with a relatively small diameter (e.g. 13 inches) only with its end regions 8 on the rim 5 or on the rim flange. In this case, there is a risk that (at first) the spring clip 6 cannot correctly engage around the rim or rim flange. To assist, the balancer must bend the weight body 7, in particular at its end regions 8, by hitting the weight body 7 in its central region 9 slightly with the an assembly tool in order that the curvature of the balancing weight contact face, which is smaller compared to that of the concave rim inner face 3, changes accordingly and fits the inner curvature of the rim inner face 3. Then the spring clip 6 can be knocked over the rim 5 or its flange. This is easily possible in the case of weight bodies manufactured from lead which are soft, without causing damage to the rim 5 or the weight body 7.

Conversely, according to Figure 4b, in the case of relatively large rim diameters (e.g. 18-22 inches), resulting in a relatively small curvature due to the correspondingly large radius of curvature, the balancing weight 1 can be



assembled relatively easily, due to the smaller radius of curvature  $R$  of the contact face 2. However, the end regions 8 stick up from the rim 5, creating a gap 10 between the two (similarly in Figure 4A in the middle central region 9). According to Figure 4b, the contact face 2 consequently touches the rim 5 only in the middle central region 9. This results in correspondingly reducing non-positive locking. Due to the projecting end regions 8, additionally the balancing weight 1 can be easily pushed off, e.g. by cleaning brushes of a car-wash, because

non-positive locking is only achieved in the middle central region 9. As a remedy, provided the weight body 7 is manufactured from relatively soft lead, the end regions 8 can be knocked onto the rim inner face 3 with an assembly tool, which, in the case of lead as a material, is possible without damage to the rim and balancing weight due to its softness.

The cited bending or “knocking on” method is no longer possible, however, with any “hard” materials, such as zinc and steel in particular, which are increasingly being used as lead-free alternatives for balancing bodies. As a remedy, according to Figure 3, for all current rim diameters according to the invention, a universal balancing weight 1 is created, whose contact face 2 is distinguished by a plurality (in the example shown by five) lateral sections 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d and 11e, which are joined together in a row via obtuse-angled bends 12 or other irregularities in the longitudinal direction of the weight body 7. The two outer lateral sections 11a, 11e extend respectively with the smallest radius of curvature  $R = 170 \text{ mm}$ , whilst the middle lateral section 11c extends in a straight line in the central region 9, i.e., has a radius of curvature  $R = \infty$ . The intermediate lateral sections 11b, 11d, which lie respectively between the middle lateral section 11c and one of the two outer lateral sections 11a, 11b, are respectively provided with a radius of curvature  $R = 228 \text{ mm}$ . Therefore, the respective radius of curvature  $R$  increases by stages from one lateral section to the next from the outer end region 8 until the middle central region 9, from which it decreases again in stages to the other end region 8.

According to Figure 4c, in rims 5 with a relatively small diameter (possibly for example, in the region of 13 inches), a balancing weight 1, which is relatively long or large and is 40-60 g heavy abuts with both end regions 8 of the rim inner face 3. In the middle central region 9, only a relatively small gap 10 remains between the rim inner face 3 and the contact face 2, so that a clip spring can be knocked on over the rim flange without risk of strain and damage. This is due to the structure according to the invention, according to which five lateral sections are defined by respectively different radii of curvature R1, R2, R3, R4, R5. In this case, similarly to Figure 3, the radii of curvature increase in stages for the two end regions 8 to the central region 9. In other words, the contact face 2 of the balancing weight according to the invention is more strongly curved in the two end regions 8 than in the middle central region 9.

In Figure 4d, the situation for rims 4 with relatively large diameter (in the range of 20 inches) is shown. The rim inner face 3 has a smaller curvature or a larger radius of curvature than the largest radius of curvature R3 in the middle central region 9 of the balancing weight 1 according to the invention. In its end regions 8, the contact face 2 is formed with even more strongly curved lateral sections, so that a relatively small gap 10 is formed between the rim inner face 3 and the lateral sections in the two end regions 8. Since in the middle central region 9 the contact face 2 of the balancing weight 1 is formed with the least curved lateral section, i.e. with the largest radius of curvature R3, there the balancing weight 1 can abut the rim inner face over a relatively large length of ~~e.g.~~ of about 5 mm. This produces correspondingly sufficient non-positive locking, which prevents slipping of the balancing weight 1.

Thus, the advantage of the balancing weight 1, which according to the invention ~~can be seen, which~~ can be mounted on all different sizes of rims can be seen.

The invention is not limited to embodiments with curved or rounded lateral sections of the contact face of the balancing weight. Thus, according to Fig. 5, the contact face 2 can have be provided with five consecutive lateral

sections 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e which extend linearly or rectilinearly.

Between these lateral sections, there are again bends 12. The lateral sections join one another ~~via~~ by the bends 12 and at respective obtuse angles. The hypothetical extensions on each side of the ~~middle~~ central lateral section 11c include respective acute angles  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  with the respectively adjacent intermediate lateral sections 11b, 11d. The hypothetical extensions of the two intermediate lateral sections 11b, 11d include the acute angles  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ , respectively, with the respectively adjacent end lateral sections 11a, 11e. This gives the relation:

$$\beta < \alpha \text{ and } \gamma < \delta.$$

This means, correspondingly to the radii of curvature R decreasing towards the end regions, in the embodiment according to Figure 5, the angle of bending from the central region 9 to the end regions increases. This again produces the universal mountability of the balancing weight on all current rims.

#### ~~List of reference numbers~~

- ~~1 ————— impact balancing weight~~
- ~~2 ————— contact face~~
- ~~3 ————— rim inner face~~
- ~~4 ————— flange~~
- ~~5 ————— wheel rim~~
- ~~6 ————— clip spring~~
- ~~7 ————— weight body~~
- ~~8 ————— end region~~
- ~~9 ————— middle region~~
- ~~10 ————— gap~~
- ~~11a-11d — lateral sections~~
- ~~12 ————— bend~~
- ~~R, R1-R5 — radii of curvature~~
- ~~A,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$  — acute angles~~